MANSFIELD AND DISTRICT CREMATORIUM JOINT COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE MEETING

Meeting to be held at Castle House, Newark and Sherwood District Council Offices.

Monday, 24 February 2020 at 10.00 am

| Μ | P | m | h | ρ | rc | ٠_ |
|-----|----------|-----|---|---|----|-----|
| IVI | C | ,,, | v | C | ıs | • - |

Ashfield District Council

Councillor Barsby (Committee Member)
Councillor T Hollis (Committee Member)
Councillor H Smith (Committee Member)

Mansfield District Council

A Abrahams (Vice-Chairman)
Councillor A Burgin (Committee Member)
Councillor Richardson (Committee Member)

Newark & Sherwood District Council

Councillor Mrs L Hurst (Chairman)
Councillor T Smith (Committee Member)
Councillor Mrs G Dawn (Committee Member)

AGENDA

| Item 1. | Apologies for Absence | Page No. |
|------------|--|----------|
| 2. | Declarations of interest by Members and Officers | |
| 3. | Declarations of intent to record the meeting | |
| 4. | Minutes of the meeting held on 13 January 2020 | 3 - 8 |
| 5. | Matters Arising | |
| 6. | Financial Management Review April 2019-Jan 2020 | 9 - 27 |
| 7. | Situation Report | 28 - 29 |
| 8. | Business Plan for a Commercial Review of the Crematorium Service | 30 - 31 |
| 9. | Funeral Directors Meeting | 32 - 33 |
| 10. | Abatement | 34 - 35 |
| 11. | Recycling Report | 36 - 38 |

12. Any Other Business

13. Date of Next Meeting

Tuesday 26 May 2020, 10am, Ashfield District Council Offices

Proposed future meeting dates- All Monday, 10am

21 September 2020 Mansfield7 December 2020 Newark22 February 2021 Ashfield24 May 2021 Mansfield

Distribution

Councillors:

Ashfield District Council Councillor K. Barsby

Councillor T. Hollis Councillor Mrs H Smith

Mansfield District Council Executive Mayor A Abrahams

Councillor A. Burgin Councillor S. Richardson

Newark & Sherwood District Council Councillor Mrs G Dawn

Councillor Mrs L Hurst Councillor T. Smith

Officers:

Eve Allsop –Acting Treasurer (Mansfield District Council)

Wendy Gregson (Mansfield District Council)

Sally Curtis - Director and Registrar (Mansfield and District Crematorium)

Craig Bonar- Director of Resources and Business Transformation (Ashfield District Council)

Justine Wells-Principal Accountant (Ashfield District Council)

Sue Bearman- Clerk to the Joint Committee (Newark & Sherwood District Council)

Helen Bayne - Democratic Services Assistant (Newark & Sherwood District Council)

Agenda Item 4

NEWARK AND SHERWOOD DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the Meeting of **Mansfield and District Crematorium Joint Committee** held in the Council Chamber, Mansfield District Council Offices on Monday, 13 January 2020 at 10.00 am.

PRESENT: Councillor Mrs L Hurst (Chairman)

A Abrahams (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor T Hollis, Councillor H Smith, Councillor A Burgin, Councillor

Richardson and Councillor T Smith

APOLOGIES FOR

Councillor Barsby (Committee Member)

ABSENCE:

1767 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY MEMBERS AND OFFICERS</u>

Councillor A Burgin declared a personal interest as an employee of Ashfield District Council.

1768 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTENT TO RECORD THE MEETING</u>

NOTED that no intention to record the meeting was declared.

1769 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2019

The Minutes of the Meeting held on 16 September 2019 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

1770 MATTERS ARISING

Minute No. 1762 - Recycling of Metals

Councillor T Hollis advised that Ashfield District Council would appoint the John Eastwood Hospice as their nominated bereavement based charity.

Councillor Hollis went on to query how the fourth year of the donation cycle would be allocated, stating that he had not been part of the decision as to the current order for donations. The Director & Registrar advised that the decision had been taken by the Joint Committee 6 years previously. She added that she would report back to the next meeting of the Joint Committee on the rotational system used.

Minute No. 1761 – Financial Management Review Report from 1 April - 31 July 2019

In relation to Resolution (c) and (d), the Director — Resources & Business Transformation (ADC) raised a query as to (c) what progress had been made with organising the opening evening with funeral directors; and (d) the compilation of the report to the Joint Committee detailing which funeral directors had used the facility, both recently and in the past etc. The Director & Registrar advised that the crematorium was experiencing resource/staffing issues which had led to a delay in actioning these resolutions.

Agenda Page 3

Councillor Hollis noted that it had been suggested at the last meeting that a letter be written to funeral directors inviting them to engage with the 3 constituent authorities but this had not been actioned. The Chairman advised that she had spoken to funeral directors in the Newark area to ascertain the reasons a specific crematorium was chosen. They had indicated that the choice by customers was often dictated by tradition e.g. they used the same facility as other family members or one they had used previously. Councillor Hollis stated that this did not appear to be the case in Ashfield or Mansfield as customers/funeral directors were bypassing the Mansfield Crematorium and instead choosing to go to Gedling, which was relatively new. He suggested that other facilities were getting more business possibly due to more effective advertising. It was noted that this shift to Gedling was not due to price as the cost of a cremation at Mansfield was lower. Councillor Richardson queried whether there was a trade body that could be invited to a future meeting of the Joint Committee.

The Director of Resources & Business Transformation (ADC) stated that the long-term trend appeared to be downwards but with no obvious reason. In noting that the crematorium was a business, he suggested that it was perhaps time to look at procuring an additional temporary resource to further explore the reason for the downward trend, adding that it could be paid for by a very small increase in the number of cremations. Members were advised that the crematorium was currently undertaking an internal staffing restructure which would enable the Director & Registrar to focus on senior managerial tasks, adding that the meeting with funeral directors would be arranged for the spring. Councillor Hollis commented that the crematorium needed to be more commercial and requested that an additional member of staff be put forward for consideration.

The Clerk to the Joint Committee commented that there appeared to be a gap in the crematorium's research and business planning information which would enable Members to make an informed decision on how to progress, suggesting that a business analysis be carried out. In acknowledging the Clerk's comments, Executive Mayor Abrahams commented that the commercialisation of the crematorium was a sensitive issue. He suggested that objectives be set with input being sought from the Director & Registrar as to how they could be best achieved.

Councillor Smith queried whether it would be possible to adjust the role of an existing employee to assist the Director & Registrar, suggesting that it could also help with re-branding the crematorium.

AGREED that reports on the following 2 matters be presented to the next meeting of the Joint Committee:

- (a) rotational system used for the distribution of recycling monies; and
- (b) proposals for putting together a business plan to take a more commercial approach in relation to the Crematorium that brings together elements of throughput, investment, income and expenditure.

1771 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REVIEW 1 APRIL TO 31 OCTOBER 2019

The Joint Committee considered the report of the Treasurer to the Joint Committee updating Members on the forecasted year end position for the 2019/2020 financial year as at 31 October 2019. The Joint Committee considered the income, expenditure and variances for the period. The Treasurer highlighted that the surplus was reducing due to the reduction in throughput but that it remained in-line with the 2018 outturn. The Director & Registrar advised that the services booked in until the end of January 2020 were higher than those for the same period in 2019.

In considering the report Members were advised that the figures had been compiled for the meeting in December which had been cancelled. The Treasurer advised that a report with revised up-to-date figures would be presented to the next meeting of the Joint Committee.

AGREED that the financial information provided in Appendix 1 and Table 3 of the report be noted.

1772 ANNUAL REVIEW FOR FEES AND CHARGES 2020/2021

The Joint Committee considered the report of the Treasurer to the Joint Committee which set out the proposed fees and charges to be introduced from 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2021. Table 1 provided a comparison from other crematoria for previous years. Table 2 set out the recommended increase for basic cremation fees by 8% in 2020/2021 and 5% in 2021/2022 and 2022/2023. The Treasurer advised that the proposed 8% increase would be apportioned - as 5% to revenue and 3% to capital, which would contribute to the future capital programme budget.

In considering the report, Councillor Richardson queried whether the proposed 5% increase to revenue was sufficient to cover the funds required. The Treasurer advised that years 1 and 2 would be balanced, but not so year 3. In response to whether monies could be taken from profits, the Treasurer advised that these had already decreased, hence the proposed 8% increase.

Members expressed concern in relation to the proposed increase in fees but accepted the reasons for doing so. The Treasurer advised that the surplus had already been reduced and it was understood that most crematoria would also be increasing their fees. The proposed 8% increase would take the Mansfield facility to mid-table with other facilities but by doing so would also allow time to review the capital programme and put forward a budget in the Autumn.

Councillor Richardson queried as to the latest position with the procurement of new abatement equipment. The Director & Registrar advised that this had been delayed due to the discovery of bats at the facility and work had been halted by Natural England. She added that this had implications in relation to VAT.

Members were advised that the percentage capital spend for the works was £725k with the budget for the abatement equipment being £625k, however, this did not include any ancillary building works. The Treasurer advised that any underspend

would be returned to the overall capital budget.

AGREED that:

- (a) the proposed cremation fee as shown in Table 2 of the report for the period 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2021 be approved. The fee for 2020/2021 being £778 which is an increase of £58 (8%) on the 2019/2020 cremation fee. The medical referee fee of £18.50 will be added to the cremation fees; and
- (b) the proposed cremation fees as shown in Table 2 of the report for 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 be approved, in principle. The fees being: 2021/2022 £817 and for 2022/2023 £859, being an increase of 5% in both years. The medical referee fee of £18.50 will be added to the cremation fees.

1773 REVENUE AND CAPITAL BUDGETS 2020/2021-2022/2023

The Joint Committee considered the report of the Treasurer to the Joint Committee which sought to update Members on the revenue and capital budgets for 2020/2021 and 2021/2022 and the proposals for 2022/2023. It was reported that a review of the budgets for 2021/2022 onwards would take place prior to the setting of the revenue budgets in 2020, in order to identify future savings and efficiencies.

The Treasurer advised that the figures used in the report were based on the previously agreed 8% increase (5% fee/3% capital). She advised that performance information on throughput was essential to ascertain its effects on the facility's finances. As previously mentioned a review of the facility was required so that it fed into the budget setting process resulting in a more informed picture of future years' requirements.

In considering the report Members noted the capital spend in relation to the new music system in both chapels stating that the cost appeared to be high. The Director & Registrar advised that it had not, as yet, been tendered for and the cost in report was an estimate.

In noting the proposal to transfer funds from the general reserve to the capital fund, the Clerk to the Joint Committee queried what level the general reserve should be maintained at. The Treasurer advised that there was no guidance available but that the proposal was taken based on risk. Councillor Hollis stated that he would prefer to transfer the funds from the general reserve when they were actually required.

The Director of Resources & Business Transformation (ADC) noted that Recommendation v) of the report was to establish a working group to review how the planned preventative works programme would be financed in future years. He suggested that the aforementioned transfer of funds from the general reserve would form part of the considerations during their assessment of the facility.

AGREED that:

- (a) the proposed revenue and capital budgets for 2020/2021 be approved;
- (b) the proposed revenue budgets for 2021/2022 and 2022/2023 be approved, in principle;
- (c) a working group be established to review how the planned preventative works programme would be financed in future years as detailed in paragraph 3.5.10 of the report, such review to consider further the proposed transfer of £145,366 from the general reserve to the Capital Fund as detailed in paragraph 3.5.2 of the report;
- (d) the proposed income from the additional 3% cremation fee increase in 2020/2021 as set out in paragraph 3.5.4 of the report be moved into the useable reserve Capital Fund, such decision to be subject to an annual review; and
- (e) the proposal to review the planned preventative works programme on an annual basis with reports to the Joint Committee each September as detailed in 3.5.11 of the report be approved.

1774 CLERK TO THE JOINT COMMITTEE

The Joint Committee considered the report of the Clerk to the Joint Committee which sought Members' approval for the reassignment of the role of Clerk to the Business Manager – Legal Services (NSDC), Mrs Susan Bearman.

AGREED that the Business Manager – Legal Services (NSDC) be appointed as Clerk to the Joint Committee.

1775 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Planning Preventative Maintenance

Executive Mayor Abrahams queried as to the latest position with regard to the above. The Director & Registrar advised that it was a 20 year rolling programme. Structural maintenance was carried out as and when required. A survey was undertaken approximately 2 years previously when the building was found to be sound.

It was noted that in previous years any surplus had been retained by the Joint Committee to be used for such works but this was not now the case. The Treasurer added that planning work was now ongoing for the long-term future of the facility. In noting that each constituent authority was being asked to contribute, Councillor Hollis requested that the Joint Committee receive a detailed breakdown of the abatement costs at the next meeting.

Visit to the Crematorium

A date for a general visit to the Crematorium was to be circulated to all Members.

1776 <u>DATE OF NEXT MEETING</u>

Monday, 24 February 2020 (NSDC)

Meeting closed at 11.52 am.

Chairman

Report of Treasurer of Joint Crematorium Committee To Mansfield and District Joint Crematorium Committee On 24 February 2020

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REVIEW 1 APRIL 2019 TO 31 JANUARY 2020

1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report shows the forecasted year end position for the 2019/2020 financial year for the Mansfield Crematorium as at 31 January 2020.

2. RECOMMENDATION

To be resolved:

- i). The financial information provided in appendix 1 and table 3 is for noting only.
- ii) The VAT partial exemption calculation update for the 3 constituent authorities in 3.4 is for noting only.

3. BACKGROUND

3.1 Summary Forecast Financial Position - see appendix 1

Table 1 below summarises the income and expenditure incurred to 31 January 2020 and the variances expected at year end. Further explanations are provided below where there are significant variances between the forecasted outturn position and the budget. For details of 2019/2020 budget realignments please see appendix 2.

Table 1

| CREMATORIUM | | FULL YEAR | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Forecast | Variance - Forecast to Original Budget | Actuals | | |
| Employee Costs | 408,492 | 405,215 | 366,259 | -42,233 | 298,982 | | |
| Premises Related Expenses | 405,776 | 364,176 | 355,076 | -50,700 | 224,672 | | |
| Transport Related Expenditure | 306 | 306 | 0 | -306 | (| | |
| Supplies and Services | 169,501 | 242,378 | 220,642 | 51,141 | 100,014 | | |
| Support Services | 60,100 | 60,100 | 60,322 | 222 | 12,195 | | |
| Depreciation & Impairment | 126,271 | 126,271 | 126,271 | 0 | C | | |
| Revenue Gross Expenditure | 1,170,446 | 1,198,446 | 1,128,570 | -41,876 | 635,863 | | |
| Rev Income | -1,880,719 | -1,880,719 | -1,747,713 | 133,006 | -1,411,925 | | |
| Income | -1,880,719 | -1,880,719 | -1,747,713 | 133,006 | -1,411,925 | | |
| Recharge to Cemeteries | -33,108 | -33,108 | -33,108 | 0 | C | | |
| Income Recharges | -33,108 | -33,108 | -33,108 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Revenue Gross Income | -1,913,827 | -1,913,827 | -1,780,821 | 133,006 | -1,411,925 | | |
| Net Cost of Service | -743,381 | -715,381 | -652,251 | 91,130 | -776,062 | | |
| Depreciation to be Reversed | -126,271 | -126,271 | -126,271 | 0 | (| | |
| 2018/2019 Carryforward Budgets from General Reserve | 0 | -28,000 | -28,000 | -28,000 | -12,103 | | |
| Below Net Cost of Service | -126,271 | -154,271 | -154,271 | -28,000 | -12,103 | | |
| Net (-) Surplus | -869,652 | -869,652 | -806,522 | 63,130 | -788,165 | | |
| CREMATORIUM CAPITAL | | FULL | YEAR | | 1 April 2019 to 31 January 2020 | | |
| Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Forecast | Variance - Forecast to Revised Budget | Actuals | | |
| Capital - New Land Purchase | 0 | 835 | 835 | 835 | 835 | | |
| Capital - Replacement of Abatement Equipment | 750,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 0 | C | | |
| Capital Gross Expenditure | 750,000 | 750,835 | 750,835 | 835 | 835 | | |

3.1.1 Employee Expenses total forecasted variance (£42,000)

As approved at the Dec 2018 JCC meeting, the manpower budget for the retired Clerk to the JCC is to be used to pay for legal advice provided by Newark and Sherwood District Council's legal team at JCC meetings and as required. The 2019/2020 budget totalling £3,277 has been realigned to Payments to Local Authorities within Supplies and Services and will be paid annually.

The Director and Registrar of the crematorium is undertaking a staff restructure to meet the demands of the service. There are currently 2 vacant posts; a clerical assistant post and the supervisor/assistant registrar post. An agency clerical officer is being employed from Feb 2020 for an 8 week period and will be financed from employee cost vacancy savings. Officers have been working additional overtime to cover vacant positions.

3.1.2 Premises Related Expenses total forecasted variance (£51,000)

Further to (£30,000) of repair/maintenance fixed plant cremators budget being realigned to CAMEO fees in July, a further (£11,600) was realigned in October after confirmation of the environmental surcharge fee was received from CAMEO as detailed in 3.1.3.

Further variance on utility and other premises expenses has been forecast at (£9,100).

3.1.3 Supplies and Services Expenses total forecasted variance £51,000

The CAMEO budget has been increased by £30,000 in July and £11,600 in October following budget realignments from repair/maintenance fixed plant cremators as detailed in 3.1.2. The crematorium will be charged £56 for each tradable mercury abated cremation (tmac) purchased due to the non-abatement of cremations, this is based on 50% of the cremations processed. Due to the increase in throughput of cremations in January 2020 and the revised forecast of total cremations being increased to 2,250, the forecast for CAMEO fees is forecast to increase by £1,400. Budget savings will be identified and realigned during Feb 2020 to meet this budget forecast. It is estimated that the charge will be for 1,125 tmac's at £56 each, totalling £63,000.

A budget of £28,000 has been carried forward from 2018/2019 for video streaming and equipment; the funds for this spend are held within General Usable Reserves. The video streaming works are complete with the replacement CCTV to follow this financial year.

Savings have been forecast in the following areas:-

- Light plant and tools (£3,500)
- Materials rodent control (£450)
- Office machinery (£541)
- Printing (£3,000)
- Stationery (£1,500)
- Medical referee fees (£2,775)
- Software licences (£760)
- Postages (£1,000)
- Conference expenses (£1,000)
- Book of remembrance inscriptions (£2,128)
- Other expenses general (£275)
- Organist fees (£10,000)

Budget forecasts have increased in the following areas:-

- Hire vending machines £600
- Bio boxes £1,000
- Advertising other £174
- Payments to local authorities £3,277
- Systems software £519
- Telephones £1,500

3.1.4 Income total forecasted variance £133,000.

The original Cremation Fee budget was based on 2,400 cremations being carried out during 2019/2020. The income forecast for 2019/2020 has been

revised to 2,250 cremations being undertaken this financial year, resulting in a potential £108,000 income reduction.

The income for the use of the organist at funerals has been forecast at £12,000 lower than budget due to reduced demand for this service. The income for the recharge of Medical Fees has reduced by £2,775 due to the estimated number of cremations being forecast from 2,400 to 2,250. The income for inscriptions, containers and memorials is also reduced by £10,231 due to fewer estimated cremations.

3.1.5 Below Net Cost of Service total forecasted variance (£28,000)

A budget of £28,000 has been carried forward from 2018/2019 for video streaming and CCTV equipment, to date £12,103 has been spent on video streaming equipment. However, due to bat surveys identifying bats in the roof of the crematorium the works on the installation of updated CCTV equipment has been delayed. If this work is not completed by the end of March 2020 then a request will be made to carry the balance of this budget into 2020/2021 financial year.

The funds for this spend are held within General Usable Reserves and will be shown as a below net cost of service transaction.

3.1.6 The number of cremations carried out between 1 April 2019 and 31 January 2020 is 1,882, an increase of 53 (2.9%) compared to 1,829 over the same period in 2018/2019. Table 2 below compares the April to January number of cremations for the last 5 years.

Table 2

| | | | Newark & | | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Period | Ashfield | Mansfield | Sherwood | Out of Area | Total |
| April 2019-January 2020 | 686 | 770 | 115 | 311 | 1882 |
| April 2018-January 2019 | 681 | 697 | 106 | 345 | 1829 |
| April 2017-January 2018 | 703 | 813 | 143 | 367 | 2026 |
| April 2016-January 2017 | 658 | 784 | 203 | 491 | 2136 |
| April 2015-January 2016 | 698 | 656 | 179 | 489 | 2022 |

Appendix 3 shows the number of cremations and the percentage of the split between Ashfield District Council, Mansfield District Council, Newark and Sherwood District Council with and without the cremations outside of the joint committee area between April 2019 and January 2020.

Appendix 4 shows the last 5 year annual cremation throughput totals per area. Based on this information the estimated number of cremations for 2019/2020 is forecast at 2,250. This forecast will be reviewed on a monthly basis.

3.2 Balance Sheet Review – Table 3 below shows the balance sheet as at 31 January 2020.

Table 3

| Mansfield Crematorium | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Balance Sheet as at 31 January 2020 | |
| | 31 January 2020 |
| | £ |
| Property, Plant & Equipment | 2,277,048 |
| Long Term Assets | 2,277,048 |
| Short Term Debtors | 303,428 |
| | -16,998 |
| | 1,517,904 |
| | 1,804,334 |
| Short Term Creditors | 0 |
| | 0 |
| N (B) : I : I : I : I | 4 005 004 |
| | -1,225,001 |
| Long Term Liabilities | -1,225,001 |
| Net Assets | 2,856,381 |
| Financed by: | |
| Capital Fund | 799,863 |
| | 788,165 |
| | 236,264 1,824,292 |
| Osable Reserves | 1,024,232 |
| Revaluation Reserve | 461,397 |
| Capital Adjustment Accounts | 1,815,651 |
| Pension Reserve | -1,244,960 |
| Unusable Reserves | 1,032,088 |
| Total Reserves | 2,856,380 |
| | Property, Plant & Equipment Long Term Assets Short Term Debtors Provisions Cash and Cash Equivalents Current Assets Short Term Creditors Current Liabilities Net Pension Liabilities Net Assets Net Assets |

3.2.1 Long Term Assets – There is currently no movement in the long term assets. Transactions for depreciation and any changes in the re-valuation of the crematorium assets, which is to be undertaken during this financial year, will be calculated before the financial year end.

3.2.2 Current Assets

Short Term Debtors - Total outstanding at 31 January 2020 was £303,428.

Ageing Summary:

| Month invoice raised: | Amount Due £ |
|---|--------------|
| January (Current month) | £218,467 |
| December (1 month overdue) | £33,956 |
| November (2 months overdue) | £28,601 |
| October (3 months overdue) | £17,185 |
| o Pre-Oct 2019 (over 4 months) | £5,219 |
| o TOTAL | £303,428 |

These debtor invoices relate to monies due from funeral directors. Due to a changeover in debtor invoice processing systems at Mansfield District Council in December 2019, some invoices due to be raised for the last week in December were raised in January 2020.

Cash and Cash Equivalents – The main changes relate to the payment of the 2018/2019 allocated surplus to each authority, accrued creditor payments to suppliers and the revenue surplus calculated up to 31 January 2020 on the revenue accounts.

3.2.3 Current Liabilities

Short Term Creditors – There are no short term creditors at 31 January 2020. However, at the financial year end the outstanding creditors will be calculated based on the invoices relating to the 2019/2020 accounts that have not yet been paid and the net surplus due to the 3 authorities.

Provisions – At the financial year end the value required for this provision will be recalculated based on the age of outstanding debtor invoices.

3.2.4 Long Term Liabilities

Net Pension Liability – This will remain unchanged until the actuary report is received at the financial year end advising of the changes to the value of the JCC pension scheme.

3.2.5 Usable Reserves

Capital Fund – The Capital Fund brought forward balance as at 1 April 2019 was £800,698. The capital budget for 2019/2020 is set at £750,000 for replacement abatement equipment. No expenditure has been incurred yet on this project. The Director/Registrar of the Crematorium has advised that due to bats roosting on the crematorium roof works to replace the abatement equipment can't commence with the until a bat report is received from Natural England in March 2020. It is unlikely that these capital works will be completed this financial year and a request to carry forward the capital budget will be made in the out-turn report as required.

A late invoice has been received relating to the 2018/2019 Land Purchase scheme for the Forestry Commission's Legal fees £835.

The forecast capital fund usable reserve balance at 31 March 2020 is £49,863 as detailed in table 4 below.

Table 4

| Capital Fund Balance Brought Forward 1 April 2019 | £800,698 |
|---|-----------|
| Capital land purchase - legal fees incurred | -£835 |
| | £799,863 |
| Capital budget for replacement abatement equipment | -£750,000 |
| Capital Fund Forecasted Balance as at 31 March 2020 | £49,863 |

General Reserve – At the end of 2018/2019 budget carry-forwards were approved totalling £28,000. The carry-forward budgets were included in the general reserve increasing the closing balance of this reserve to £248,366 at the end of the last financial year. These carry-forward budgets are now included in the 2019/2020 budgets. The installation of the CCTV equipment has also been delayed until the bat report is received, it is unlikely that these works will be completed this financial year and a request to carry forward the remaining budget will be made in the out-turn report as required.

The forecasted balance of the general reserve at the end of this financial year is £220,366, as detailed in table 5 below.

Table 5

| General Reserve Balance Brought Forward 1 April 2019 | £248,366 |
|--|----------|
| Video streaming equipment costs incurred | -£12,103 |
| | £236,263 |
| Carry forward balance for replacement CCTV equipment | -£15,897 |
| General Reserve Forecasted Balance as at 31 March 2020 | £220,366 |

3.2.6 Unusable Reserves

Revaluation reserve - This will remain unchanged until the end of the current financial year.

Capital Adjustment Account – This will remain unchanged until the end of the current financial year.

Pension Reserve - This will remain unchanged until the actuary report is received at the financial year end advising of the changes to the value of the JCC pension scheme.

3.3 The position at 31 January 2020 is a surplus of £788,165, see appendix 1. The year-end forecast position to 31 March 2020 is a surplus of £806,522, compared to the budgeted surplus of £869,652, which is a reduction in surplus of £63,130. The forecasted surplus at the end of January 2020 of £806,522 is an improvement of £48,703 on the surplus that was forecast in the last report up to 31 October 2019.

The main reason for the change from original budget surplus to the current forecast surplus is the reduction in the number of cremations forecast during 2019/2020 from 2,400 to 2,250 and the related fee income. This usage forecast will be monitored closely and any further changes in usage will be reflected in revised forecasts and surplus estimates.

The budget for repairs and maintenance for fixed plant/cremators has not yet been re-forecast. This budget may be needed to ensure the cremators and fixed plant equipment remain operational. Due to the delay in the major works for the replacement abatement equipment it is unknown what level of repairs/maintenance budget will be required for the remainder of this financial year.

3.3.1 Table 6 below shows the forecast surplus payments to each authority based on the forecasted surplus and the usage to date by area.

Table 6

| | April 2019- | April 2019 - | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|---|----------------|
| District | January 2020 | January 2020 | | Forecast |
| | No. of | - | | Surplus |
| | Cremations | Percentage | | £806,522 split |
| Ashfield | 686 | 43.67% | £ | 352,180 |
| Mansfield | 770 | 49.01% | £ | 395,304 |
| Newark & Sherwood | 115 | 7.32% | £ | 59,039 |
| TOTAL | 1,571 | 100.00% | £ | 806,522 |

3.4 VAT Partial Exemption Calculations for Constituent Authorities

Due to the delay in installing the replacement abatement equipment due to bats in the crematorium roof, as detailed in 3.2.5, it is highly likely that the capital expenditure budget of £750,000 will need to be carried forward into the 2020/2021 financial year. The three constituent authorities will need to adjust their partial exemption calculations in line with this change.

4. RISK ASSESSMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPTIONS

| Risk | Risk Assessment | Risk Level | Risk Management |
|--|---|---------------|---|
| Financial forecasts are inaccurate | A number of the Joint Crematorium's budgets are dependent on external factors and influences which cannot be accurately forecast | Medium | The budgetary management system is in place whereby finance and budget officers meet to discuss issues surrounding the budgets. |

5. ALIGNMENT TO COMMITTEES PRIORITIES

This report is directly aligned to ensuring effective management of the Crematorium.

6. IMPLICATIONS RELATING TO RELEVANT LEGISLATION

(a) Relevant Legislation: The accounts are produced in accordance with the requirements of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015. The format reflects the requirements of the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2018/2019 and the Service Accounting Code of Practice published by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA). This is supported by the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

The audit is carried out in accordance with the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015.

(b) Human Rights: No impact

- (c) Equality and Diversity: No impact.
- (d) Climate change and environmental sustainability: No impact.
- (e) Crime and Disorder: No impact.
- (f) Budget / Resources: This report is to note the out-turn position on the Joint Crematorium Account and the balances on the Reserve funds.

7. CONSULTATION

The proposals have been provided by the Director and Registrar of the Mansfield & District Crematorium.

8. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

Report Author - Wendy Gregson

Designation - Senior Finance Advisor

Telephone - 01623 463305

E-mail - wgregson@mansfield.gov.uk

| DEVENUE ODENATORINA | | - "\ | , | | Appendix 1 1 April 2019 to 31 January | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| REVENUE CREMATORIUM | Full Year | | | | | |
| Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Forecast Budget | Variance Forecast Budget to Original Budget | Actuals | |
| | £ | | £ | £ | £ | |
| Salaries Basic Pay | 297,262 | 294,454 | 239,613 | -57,649 | 196,745 | |
| Salaries Overtime | 18,000 | 18,000 | 28,400 | 10,400 | 23,635 | |
| Salaries National Insurance | 23,287 | 23,287 | 22,005 | -1,282 | 18,423 | |
| Salaries Superannuation | 69,603 | 69,134 | 66,150 | -3,453 | 58,492 | |
| Salaries Vacancy Savings | -5,553 1,168 | -5,553 | 0 1,168 | 5,553 0 | 91 | |
| Superann Additional Allowances Agency Staff | 0 | 1,168 | 3,243 | 3,243 | 0 | |
| Occupational Health Services | 500 | 500 | 500 | 3,243 | 0 | |
| Training Expenses Staff | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0 | 642 | |
| Apprenticeship Levy | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 0 | 042 | |
| Pay in Lieu of Notice | 0 | 0 | 955 | 955 | 955 | |
| Employee Related Expenditure | 408,492 | 405,215 | 366,259 | -42,233 | 298,982 | |
| Repair/Maintenance Buildings | 23,120 | 23,120 | 23,120 | 0 | 20,661 | |
| Grounds Maintenance General | 20,440 | 20,440 | 20,440 | 0 | 8,474 | |
| EPA Testing | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,161 | -339 | 1,161 | |
| Repair/Maintenance Fixed Plant Cremators | 144,272 | 102,672 | 102,672 | -41,600 | 25,604 | |
| Electricity | 45,900 | 45,900 | 44,000 | -1,900 | 25,763 | |
| Gas | 48,000 | 48,000 | 42,000 | -6,000 | 25,750 | |
| Rent of Premises | 159 | 159 | 159 | 0 | 159 | |
| Business Rates | 89,685 | 89,685 | 89,863 | 178 | 89,863 | |
| Sewage/Water Rates | 13,000 | 9,539 | 8,000 | -5,000 | 4,132 | |
| Insurance | 15,500 | 18,961 | 18,961 | 3,461 | 18,961 | |
| Cleaning Materials | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 0 | 3,884 | |
| Legionella | 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 260 | |
| Premises Related Expenditure | 405,776 | 364,176 | 355,076 | -50,700 | 224,672 | |
| Car Allowances | 306 | 306 | 0 | -306 | 0 | |
| Transport Related Expenditure | 306 | 306 | 00,000 | -306 | 0 | |
| Equipment Acquisitions | 1,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 | 12,103 | |
| Furniture Acquisitions Hire Vending Machines | 4,000 | 4,000 1,200 | 4,000 1,200 | 600 | 797 612 | |
| Light Plant and Tools | 4,000 | 4,000 | 500 | -3,500 | 267 | |
| Bio Boxes | 4,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 | 1,000 | 3,788 | |
| Materials Rodent Control | 450 | 450 | 0,000 | -450 | 3,788 | |
| Office Machinery Repair/Maintenance | 100 | 100 | 0 | -100 | 0 | |
| Office Machinery Replacement | 900 | 900 | 459 | -441 | 459 | |
| Uniforms | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 0 | 959 | |
| Printing | 9,000 | 9,000 | 6,000 | -3,000 | 2,207 | |
| Stationery | 6,000 | 5,400 | 4,500 | -1,500 | 2,921 | |
| Advertising Other | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,974 | 174 | 1,974 | |
| Waste Collection Skips | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | |
| Medical Referee Fees | 44,400 | 44,400 | 41,625 | -2,775 | 35,694 | |
| Payments to Local Authorities | 4,000 | 7,277 | 7,277 | 3,277 | 5,317 | |
| Software Licences | 9,000 | 9,000 | 8,240 | -760 | 2,000 | |
| Postages | 3,500 | 3,500 | 2,500 | -1,000 | 1,799 | |
| Systems Software | 0 | 519 | 519 | 519 | | |
| Telephones | 6,500 | 8,815 | 8,000 | 1,500 | 5,509 | |
| Conference Expenses | 1,000 | 1,000 | 0 200 | -1,000 | 0 | |
| Subscriptions | 2,393 | 2,393 | 2,393 | 0 | 2,103 | |
| Book of Remembrance Inscriptions | 9,128 | 9,128 | 7,000 | -2,128 | 4,321 | |
| External Legal Expenses Other Expenses Congrel | 1,500 500 | 1,500 500 | 1,500 225 | 0 -275 | 225 | |
| Other Expenses General Memorial Plaques | 11,730 | 11,730 | 11,730 | -2/5 0 | 8,462 | |
| Organist Fees | 20,000 | 17,166 | 10,000 | -10,000 | 7,980 | |
| CAMEO Non Abatement Fees | 20,000 | 61,600 | 63,000 | 43,000 | | |
| C S TIOTI I DOLOTION T 000 | 20,000 | 51,000 | 30,000 | +0,000 | . 0 | |

| | | | | | Appendix 1 |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| REVENUE CREMATORIUM | | 1 April 2019 to 31 January 2020 | | | |
| Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Forecast Budget | Variance Forecast Budget to Original Budget | Actuals |
| | £ | | £ | £ | £ |
| Design Services | 5,530 | 5,530 | 5,530 | 0 | 4,846 |
| Trade Waste/Recycling | 7,127 | 7,127 | 7,349 | 222 | 7,349 |
| Central Corporate Overhead | 47,443 | 47,443 | 47,443 | 0 | 0 |
| Support Services | 60,100 | 60,100 | 60,322 | 222 | 12,195 |
| Depreciation | 126,271 | 126,271 | 126,271 | 0 | 0 |
| Depreciation and Impairment | 126,271 | 126,271 | 126,271 | 0 | 0 |
| Revenue Gross Expenditure | 1,170,446 | 1,198,446 | 1,128,570 | -41,876 | 635,863 |
| Book of Remembrance Inscriptions | -24,806 | -24,806 | -19,000 | 5,806 | -16,952 |
| Crematorium Containers | -200 | -200 | -400 | -200 | -340 |
| Crematorium Memorials | -44,625 | -44,625 | -40,000 | 4,625 | -35,156 |
| Organist | -27,000 | -27,000 | -15,000 | 12,000 | -13,140 |
| Cremation Fees | -1,728,000 | -1,728,000 | -1,620,000 | 108,000 | -1,305,880 |
| Medical Fees | -44,400 | -44,400 | -41,625 | 2,775 | -34,133 |
| Interest Income | -7,688 | -7,688 | -7,688 | 0 | -6,324 |
| Miscellaneous Income | -4,000 | -4,000 | -4,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Income | -1,880,719 | -1,880,719 | -1,747,713 | 133,006 | -1,411,925 |
| Recharges to Cemeteries | -33,108 | -33,108 | -33,108 | 0 | 0 |
| Income Recharges | -33,108 | -33,108 | -33,108 | 0 | 0 |
| Revenue Gross Income | -1,913,827 | -1,913,827 | -1,780,821 | 133,006 | -1,411,925 |
| Net Cost of Service | -743,381 | -715,381 | -652,251 | 91,130 | -776,062 |
| Depreciation to be Reversed | -126,271 | -126,271 | -126,271 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018/2019 Carryforward Budget from General Reserve | 0 | -28,000 | -28,000 | -28,000 | -12,103 |
| Below Net Cost of Service Sub Total | -126,271 | -154,271 | -154,271 | -28,000 | -12,103 |
| Not Complete | 000.050 | 000.050 | 000 500 | 60.400 | 700.405 |
| Net Surplus | -869,652 | -869,652 | -806,522 | 63,130 | -788,165 |
| | | | | | |
| CAPITAL CREMATORIUM | Full Year | | | | 1 April 2019 to 31 January 2020 |
| | | | | | |
| Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Forecast Budget | Variance Forecast Budget to Original Budget | Actuals |
| Description | | | | Forecast Budget to Original | |
| Description New Land Purchase | Budget | | | Forecast Budget to Original Budget | £ |
| | Budget | Budget | Budget | Forecast Budget to Original Budget | £ 835 |

REQUEST FOR BUDGET RE-ALIGNMENT APPROVAL WITHIN A SERVICE AREA

| | FINANC | IAL YEAR | REALIGN AMOUNT | FINANCE OFFICER | DATE OF REQUEST | BUDGET OFFICER |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Budget Realign Ref | 2019 | 14 | £9,572 | W Gregson | 16.07.19 | S Curtis |

REASON FOR BUDGET REALIGNMENT

Meeting with Sally Curtis 12.7.19 reviewed forecasts and identified budget realignments as follows:

- 1. Move budgets for Clerk to JCC from Basic Pay and Superannuation as JCC agreed to pay this to NSDC for Legal Advice.
- 2. Due to increase in Wi-Fi data speed especially for video streaming new contract with Daisy required. Organist fee costs down realign to telephones
- 3. Portion of Capita software charged to Crematorium, add into future budgets, realign from Organist fees.
- 4. Water rates costs revised by Waterplus leaving excess budget, however insurance increased this year due to revaluation of the book of remembrance.

| | DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE REDUCED (-) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code | Account Description | Budget Realignment (-) | Current Budget | Revised Budget | | | | | |
| 4101000150 | Crematorium Water Rates | -3,461 | 13,000 | 9,539 | | | | | |
| 4101000524 | Crematorium Organist Fees | -2,834 | 20,000 | 17,166 | | | | | |
| 4101000001 | Crematorium Basic Pay - Clerk JCC | -2,808 | 297,262 | 294,454 | | | | | |
| 4101000004 | Crematorium Superannuation - Clerk JCC | -469 | 69,603 | 69,134 | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | -9,572 | | | | | | | |

| | DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE INCREASED (+) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code | Account Description | Budget Realignment (+) | Current Budget | Revised Budget | | | | | | |
| 4101000156 | Crematorium Insurance | 3,461 | 15,500 | 18,961 | | | | | | |
| 4101000439 | Crematorium Telephones | 2,315 | 6,500 | 8,815 | | | | | | |
| 4101000437 | Crematorium Systems Software | 519 | 0 | 519 | | | | | | |
| 4101000403 | Crematorium Payments to Local Authorities | 3,277 | 4,000 | 7,277 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | 9,572 | | | | | | | | |

| Αp | pend | xik | 2 |
|----|-------|-----|---|
| ΛP | PCIIC | 417 | _ |

REQUEST FOR BUDGET RE-ALIGNMENT APPROVAL WITHIN A SERVICE AREA

| | FINANC | IAL YEAR | REALIGN AMOUNT | FINANCE OFFICER | DATE OF REQUEST | BUDGET OFFICER |
|--------------------|--------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Budget Realign Ref | 2019 | 21 | £30,000 | Wendy Gregson | 16/09/2019 | Sally Curtis |

REASON FOR BUDGET REALIGNMENT

Move £30,000 from Cremtorium Repairs/Maintenance Fixed Plant/Cremators to CAMEO fees - due to non abatement of cremations as faulty abatement equipment to be replaced in year through an approved capital scheme. The fees for non-abatment has an original budget of £20,000 however at the JCC meeting held 16.9.19 is was agreed that £30,000 from R & M be realigned to finance the expected spend of £50,000.

| | DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE REDUCED (-) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code | Account Description | Budget Realignment (-) | Current Budget | Revised Budget | | | | | | |
| 4101000124 | Crematorium Repairs/Mtce Fixed Plant General | -30,000 | 144,272 | 114,272 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | -30,000 | | | | | | | | |

| DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE INCREASED (+) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code Account Description Budget Realignment (+) Current Budget Revise | | | | | | | | | |
| 4101000529 | Crematorium CAMEO Non-Abatement Fees | 30,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | 30,000 | | | | | | | |

REQUEST FOR BUDGET RE-ALIGNMENT APPROVAL WITHIN A SERVICE AREA

| | FINANC | IAL YEAR | REALIGN AMOUNT | FINANCE OFFICER | DATE OF REQUEST | BUDGET OFFICER |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Budget Realign Ref | 2019 | 35 | £12,200 | Wendy Gregson | 12.11.19 | Sally Curtis |

REASON FOR BUDGET REALIGNMENT

No cremations are being abated for the calendar year 2019, this will incur TMAC's to be purchased as part of the CAMEO scheme. The estimated throughput is 2200 funerals. The rate has been released by CAMEO for 1.1.20 which will be the price for our purchased TMAC's at £56.00 each, based on a 50% buy in. This brings the estimated cost to £61,600 - 1100 @ £56. A further £11,600 is to be realigned between detail code 0124 and 0529. Also a new water dispenser has been purchased this year requiring a realignment of £600 between detail code 0310 and 0367.

| | DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE REDUCED (-) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code | Account Description | Budget Realignment (-) | Current Budget | Revised Budget | | | | | | |
| 4101000124 | Crematorium R & M Fixed Plant.Cremators | -11,600 | 114,272 | 102,672 | | | | | | |
| 4101000367 | Crematorium Stationery | -600 | 6,000 | 5,400 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | -12,200 | | | | | | | | |

| | DETAILS OF BUDGET TO BE INCREASED (+) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Account Code | Account Description | Budget Realignment (+) | Current Budget | Revised Budget | | | | | | | |
| 4101000310 | Crematorium Hire Vending Machines | 600 | 600 | 1,200 | | | | | | | |
| 4101000529 | Crematorium CAMEO Non-abatement Fees | 11,600 | 50,000 | 61,600 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | 12,200 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Appendix 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|-----|-------|
| | <u>N</u> | Number o | of Cremation | ns by Are | a - 2019/20 | <u>)20</u> | | | |
| Month | Ashfield | % | Mansfield | % | Newark | % | Out of Area | % | TOTAL |
| Apr-19 | 67 | 36% | 71 | 38% | 14 | 7% | 36 | 19% | 188 |
| May-19 | 81 | 38% | 80 | 37% | 10 | 5% | 43 | 20% | 214 |
| Jun-19 | 53 | 35% | 60 | 39% | 15 | 10% | 24 | 16% | 152 |
| Jul-19 | 65 | 37% | 79 | 45% | 6 | 3% | 25 | 14% | 175 |
| Aug-19 | 53 | 34% | 67 | 43% | 15 | 10% | 20 | 13% | 155 |
| Sep-19 | 63 | 38% | 60 | 36% | 11 | 7% | 31 | 19% | 165 |
| Oct-19 | 75 | 41% | 73 | 40% | 6 | 3% | 29 | 16% | 183 |
| Nov-19 | 64 | 32% | 87 | 44% | 10 | 5% | 37 | 19% | 198 |
| Dec-19 | 75 | 39% | 79 | 41% | 12 | 6% | 26 | 14% | 192 |
| Jan-20 | 90 | 35% | 114 | 44% | 16 | 6% | 40 | 15% | 260 |
| Feb-20 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mar-20 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 686 | 36% | 770 | 41% | 115 | 6% | 311 | 17% | 1882 |
| Constituent Authori | ity Percenta | ge exclu | ding out of a | area cren | nations | | | | |
| April 19 to Jan 20 | 686 | 43.67% | 770 | 49.01% | 115 | 7.32% | | | 1571 |

| | | | | Appendi | x 4 | |
|---|----------|---------|----------|-----------------|---------|---|
| Summary Total Number of | | | | | | |
| Cremations Per Annum | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | |
| April | 236 | 247 | 189 | 202 | 188 | |
| May | 193 | 196 | 232 | 174 | 214 | |
| June | 206 | 226 | 193 | 196 | 152 | |
| July | 219 | 192 | 198 | 165 | 175 | |
| August | 160 | 189 | 187 | 183 | 155 | |
| September | 198 | 209 | 187 | 160 | 165 | |
| October | 175 | 185 | 188 | 194 | 183 | |
| November | 219 | 211 | 179 | 190 | 198 | |
| December | 199 | 245 | 221 | 166 | 192 | |
| January | 217 | 236 | 252 | 199 | 260 | |
| February | 233 | 233 | 255 | 204 | 200 | |
| March | 238 | 254 | 233 | 202 | | |
| TOTAL Number of Cremations | 2493 | 2623 | 2514 | | 1882 | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF CIEFINATIONS | 2493 | 2023 | 2314 | 2233 | 1002 | |
| | | | | | | New Crematorium Openings |
| 2015/2016 Total Cremations | | | N&S | Out of Cttee | | 2015 - Amber Valley Crematorium opened |
| per Area | ADC | MDC | DC | area | TOTAL | Alfreton/Swanwick |
| April | 74 | 84 | 20 | 58 | 236 | |
| May | 70 | 58 | 17 | 48 | 193 | |
| June | 77 | 61 | 14 | 54 | 206 | |
| July | 67 | 72 | 24 | 56 | 219 | |
| August | 55 | 63 | 11 | 31 | 160 | |
| September | 69 | 68 | 22 | 39 | 198 | |
| October | 59 | 54 | 12 | 50 | 175 | |
| November | 80 | 67 | 18 | 54 | 219 | |
| December | 60 | 70 | 21 | 48 | 199 | |
| | 87 | 59 | 20 | 51 | 217 | |
| January | | | | | | |
| February | 78 | 87 | 15 | 53 | 233 | |
| March | 87 | 88 | 13 | 50 | 238 | |
| TOTAL per area 2015/2016 | 863 | 831 | 207 | 592 | 2493 | |
| Percentage total per authority 2015/2016 | 45 40% | 12 71% | 10.89% | | 1901 | |
| 2013/2010 | 43.40 /6 | 43.7170 | 10.09 /6 | | 1901 | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2016/2017 Total Cremations | | | N&S | Out of Cttee | | Jan 2017 - Gedling Crematorium opened |
| per Area | ADC | MDC | DC | area | TOTAL | Lambley |
| April | 72 | 91 | 22 | 62 | 247 | |
| May | 68 | 59 | 24 | 45 | 196 | |
| June | 67 | 95 | 22 | 42 | 226 | |
| July | 60 | 70 | 24 | | 192 | |
| August | 61 | 72 | 20 | 36 | 189 | |
| September | 64 | 78 | 14 | 53 | 209 | |
| October | 65 | 68 | 17 | 35 | 185 | |
| November | 60 | 75 | 15 | 61 | 211 | |
| December | 76 | 80 | 23 | 66 | 245 | |
| January | 65 | 96 | 22 | 53 | 236 | |
| February | 76 | 82 | 14 | 61 | 233 | |
| March | 89 | 98 | 15 | 52 | 254 | |
| TOTAL per area 2016/2017 | 823 | 964 | 232 | 604 | 2623 | |
| Percentage total per authority 2016/2017 | 40.76% | 47.75% | 11.49% | | 2019 | |

| | | | | Append | ix 4 | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---|--------------------------|
| 2017/2018 Total Cremations | ADC | MDC | N&S DC | Out of Cttee | TOTAL | | |
| per Area | | MDC | | area 34 | TOTAL | | |
| April | 64 84 | 78 83 | | 45 | 189 232 | | |
| May June | 62 | 82 | 14 | 35 | 193 | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| July | 64 66 | 85 66 | 8 16 | 41 39 | 198 187 | | |
| August September | 67 | 82 | 11 | 27 | 187 | | |
| October | 73 | 72 | 13 | | 188 | | |
| November | 55 | 82 | 13 | | 179 | | |
| December | 85 | 76 | | | 221 | | |
| | 83 | 107 | 19 | 43 | 252 | | |
| January Enhance | 95 | 107 | 17 | 43 | 252 | | |
| February March | 95 | 99 | 9 | 35 | 233 | | |
| | _ | 1 012 | | | 2514 | | |
| TOTAL per area 2017/2018 | 888 | 1012 | 169 | 445 | 2514 | | |
| Percentage per authority 2017/2018 | 42.92% | 48.91% | 8.17% | | 2069 | | |
| | | | | Out of | | | Aug 2018 - Babworth |
| 2018/2019 Total Cremations | | | N&S | Cttee | | | Crematorium opened, |
| per Area | ADC | MDC | DC | area | TOTAL | | Retford/Ranby |
| April | 71 | 79 | 12 | | 202 | | |
| May | 55 | 79 | 6 | 34 | 174 | | |
| June | 68 | 76 | | | 196 | | |
| July | 65 | 55 | 7 | 38 | 165 | | |
| August | 69 | 67 | 17 | 30 | 183 | | |
| September | 65 | 56 | | | 160 | | |
| October | 72 | 71 | 8 | | 194 | | |
| November | 70 | 73 | 14 | 33 | 190 | | |
| December | 63 | 62 | 17 | 24 | 166 | | |
| January | 83 | 79 | 9 | 28 | 199 | | |
| February | 62 | 88 | | 42 | 204 | | |
| March | 83 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL per area 2018/2019 | 826 | | 130 | | 2235 | | |
| Percentage per authority | 020 | 000 | 100 | 720 | 2200 | | |
| 2018/2019 | 45.51% | 47.33% | 7.16% | | 1815 | | |
| 0040/0000 T. () O. () | | | Noo | Out of | | | Early 2019 - Barnby Moor |
| 2019/2020 Total Creamtions | 400 | MDO | N&S | Cttee | TOT:: | | Crematorium opened |
| per Area | ADC | MDC | DC | area | TOTAL | | Ranby |
| April | 67 | 71 | 14 | 1 | 188 | | |
| May | 81 | 80 | | 1 | 214 | | |
| June | 53 | | | | 152 | | |
| July | 65 | | | | 175 | | |
| August | 53 | | 15 | | 155 | | |
| September Cotobor | 63 | | | 31 | 165 | - | |
| October November | 75 | 73 | | | 183 | - | |
| | 64 | | 10 | | 198 | | |
| December | 75 | 79 | 12 | 26 | 192 | - | |
| January | 90 | 114 | 16 | 40 | 260 | - | |
| February | | | | 1 | | - | |
| March | 202 | 770 | | 044 | 4000 | | |
| TOTAL per area 2019/2020 | 686 | 770 | 115 | 311 | 1882 | | |
| WALLBOARD AND STIENSFIELD | | | | | | ı | |
| Percentage per authority 2019/2020 | 40.070 | 49.01% | 7.32% | | 1571 | | genda Page 27 |

Agenda Item 7

MANSFIELD & DISTRICT CREMATORIUM JOINT COMMITTEE

TITLE: Situational Report

1.0 Summary

This report is aimed at giving a current picture of where the crematorium is.

1 Recommendations

That the report be noted.

2.0 Situation to date

The Crematorium has experienced a year of change

- Reduced staffing levels
- New website
- New newletter for funeral directors
- New corporate image being rolled out
- New land acquisition and resulting work
- Changes which are continuing with legislation
- New systems of work
- Continuous development of Gardens of Remembrance
- Re-decoration of offices and waiting room and vestry
- Very busy January 2020.
- Internal Audit Cemeteries, Crematorium and Public Health Funerals

3.0 Actions to date

- Restructure of staffing to reduce overtime and give more comprehensive cover – on-going
- Visual tributes in Thoresby Chapel
- New opening hours to extend funeral service and office facilities on 2 nights per week.
- New reduced service cost for direct services and those who want to keep costs down.
- New hour long intervals between service times to give families more unhindered time to chat and view flowers.
- New website launched
- Newsletter sent
- New rockery boundary started to provide division from chapel entrance and those walking from new car park.
- Assessment of works to be carried out
- Training sessions with Ley ministry as part of their professional learning.
- Successful Service of Thanksgiving and Remembrance
- Excellent status for Internal Audit review.

4.0 Actions to be completed

- Marketing and commercialism review
- Meeting with funeral directors and members of committee.

 Agenda Page 28

- Restructure and appointment of 3.6 members of staff
- Overview of repairs and renewals
- Abatement installation
- Continuing development of grounds
- Overview of existing memorial schemes
- Overview of floral tribute policy
- Procurement and installation of new CCTV
- Forward planning of new memorial schemes/revenue streams

5.0 Existing issues

- 2 full time members of staff have left
- 1 part time member of staff has retired
- High incidence of sickness absence
- All the absences have led to restrictions on development of the service but not restricted what funerals can take place.
- Absences have had a heavy impact on those members of existing staff who have remained at work and had to cover in the areas where absence has been to ensure no impact on the bereaved whilst still undertaking their own duties leading to increases in overtime.

5 Risk assessment of recommendations and options

| <u>Risk</u> | Risk Assessment | Risk Level | Risk Management |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| That the staffing | Potential for | Low | Ensure that re- |
| re-structure is | recruitment to be | | structure occurs |
| resolved and full | slow | | and new roles are |
| staffing resumed | | | assimilated into |
| | | | existing working |
| | | | systems |

6 **IMPLICATIONS**

- (a) Relevant Legislation:
- (b) Human Rights: It is not considered that individual human rights will be infringed.
- (c) Equality and Diversity: No direct impact
- (d) Climate change and environmental sustainability: No direct impact
- (e) Crime and Disorder: No direct impact
- (f) Budget /Resource: Salaries are already included within existing budgets.

For further information please contact S A Curtis

on 01623 621811

MANSFIELD AND DISTRICT JOINT CREMATORIUM COMMITTEE 24 February 2020

BUSINESS PLAN FOR A COMMERCIAL REVIEW OF THE CREMATORIUM SERVICE

1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report explains the rationale for a commercial review of the crematorium service and proposes next steps.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

To be resolved:

- 2.1 That the Joint Committee approves the commissioning of business and marketing expertise to undertake a commercial review of the crematorium service; authority for commissioning an initial high level review to be delegated to Mansfield District Council's Head of Finance in consultation with the Director and Registrar of the Crematorium.
- 2.2 That the costs of the review be met from the Crematorium budget.
- 2.3 That a progress report be brought to the next meeting of the Joint Committee.

3.0 <u>Background Information</u>

- 3.1 At the last meeting it was agreed that the Joint Committee receive a report containing proposals for putting together a business plan to take a more commercial approach in relation to the Crematorium that brings together elements of throughput, investment, income and expenditure.
- 3.2 The Joint Committee concluded that there may be scope for business improvement by identifying opportunities for doing things differently to improve financial performance, including a review of the approach to marketing.

4.0 <u>Proposals</u>

- 4.1 It is proposed that as a first step a high-level review is undertaken in order to identify areas for further review and to identify whether there is any need for specialist consultants to be appointed.
- 4.2 This review should include benchmarking against other crematorium services and a costing matrix to assess how the costs of the service are currently being covered. It should also include consideration of advertising, marketing and branding, whether increasing staffing levels would assist service provision; and arranging an event with funeral directors to promote the service and obtain feedback regarding priorities in

- terms of service provision. Options to explore may include refurbishment and target waiting times.
- 4.2 This review should be undertaken by one of the member authorities' Organisational Improvement/Transformation Teams, in consultation with the Crematorium Service. These teams have the necessary expertise, and this should also minimise the pressure on resource within the Crematorium Service. The work would be undertaken under a service level agreement with the cost being covered by the Crematorium budget.
- 4.3 A progress report will be brought to the next meeting of the Joint Committee.
- 4.4 It is proposed that authority is delegated to Mansfield District Council's Head of Finance to invite expressions of interest from the Improvement/Transformation Teams, and to commission the initial high-level review following consultation with the Director and Registrar of the Crematorium.

Background Papers

For further information please contact Sue Bearman Clerk to the Committee, sue.bearman@nsdc.info, 01636 655935.

MANSFIELD & DISTRICT CREMATORIUM JOINT COMMITTEE

TITLE: Meeting with Funeral Directors and Crematorium Visit

1.0 **Summary**

1.1 To present an up-date on the proposal to meet with Funeral Directors and tour the crematorium

2 Recommendations

- i) That a group of funeral directors be invited to meet with members of the committee immediately following the May meeting of the Joint Committee.
- ii) That there is an open invitation to all members of the Joint Committee to visit the crematorium, but that a formal visit will take place on the day of the May meeting.
- iv) That feedback from the meeting and visit will feed into the commercial review.

3 Background Information

- 3.1 It has previously been agreed to hold a meeting with Funeral Directors to try and establish why families choose a particular crematorium to hold their funeral service.
- 3.2 Due to the time of year which is notoriously busy for this industry it is impractical to try and get a group of funeral directors to a meeting until bookings slow down.
- 3.3 The requirement to take a large number of people around Mansfield Crematorium during a normal working day means that service times would have to be restricted and currently the number of funeral bookings being taken prevents this from occurring.
- 3.4 The opportunity of having a group of funeral directors who are representative of their industry and also the area we serve will give valuable insight into both how they work and what their insentive is to take funerals to different crematoria.

4 Risk assessment of recommendations and options

| Risk | Risk Assessment | Risk Level | Risk Management |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|
| That funeral | Potential lack of | Low | Ensure that there |
| directors will not | industry and local | | is a realistic |
| wish to take part | knowledge to feed | | representation of |
| in such | into report | | the industry |
| discussions | | | present at any |
| | | | discussion. |

5 **IMPLICATIONS**

- (a) Relevant Legislation: FBCA Code of Cremation Practice (the professional body which oversees crematoria)
- (b) Human Rights: It is not considered that individual human rights will be infringed.
- (c) Equality and Diversity: No direct impact
- (d) Climate change and environmental sustainability: No direct impact
- (e) Crime and Disorder: No direct impact
- (f) Budget /Resource: There is limited resource implications as the crematorium chapel may have to be taken out of action for a limited period to allow for access around the building for a large group.

For further information please contact S A Curtis

on 01623 621811

MANSFIELD & DISTRICT CREMATORIUM JOINT COMMITTEE

TITLE: ABATEMENT EQUIPMENT

1.0 **Summary**

1.1 To update the Joint Committee on the proposed timelines for work relating to the abatement equipment to ensure operation in accordance with Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 which are made under the Pollution, Prevention and Control Act 1999 and cascaded down to crematoria under the Process Guidance Notes PG5/2(12).

2 Recommendations

i) that the report is noted for information only.

3 <u>Background Information</u>

- 3.1 As previously reported abatement equipment was installed and operated but has now come to the end of its workable life and the Joint Committee sanctioned the funding of replacement of the equipment to ensure compatibility with the existing cremators including the removal of one of the existing 4. An amount of £750,000 was set aside for this work of which £627,000 is the cost of the actual equipment and works associated with dismantling existing and installing new.
- 3.2 The order has been placed with Matthews to ensure compatibility with the existing cremators as the control system for the abatement has to work in sync with the cremators.
- 3.3 Currently we are awaiting a response from Natural England relating to bats which have been found rousting in the stack. This has the potential to impact on timelines.
- 3.4 The scope of the work is quite extensive and at the moment we are establishing exactly where all the equipment will fit within our existing footprint to ensure that we have good access for maintenance. Only once we know exactly how the footprint will be will we be able to start to assess what additional repair works and decoration will be required to the roof, crematory and committal rooms and some of this work may not be possible to assess until the removal of the decommissioned cremator and the movement of the existing abatement equipment and the roof is not accessible currently. This work is budgeted for.
- 3.5 The proposed projected plan of works has previously been distributed but will be subject to change depending on weather conditions and possible location of the stack pipes.
- 3.6 Impact on services will be kept to a minimum with all aspects of scheduling being discussed prior, with the Director & Registrar.

4 Risk assessment of recommendations and options

| Risk | Risk Assessment | Risk Level | Risk Management |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|
| That not carrying | Potential damage | Low | Finance has been |
| out the work would | to reputation risk | | secured and |
| cause the | of closure to | | project has |
| crematorium to not | operate | | commenced. |
| comply with the | | | |
| Environmental | | | CAMEO has been |
| Protection | | | extended into |
| legislation | | | 2020. |

5 **IMPLICATIONS**

- (a) Relevant Legislation: Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 which are made under the Pollution, Prevention and Control Act 1999 and cascaded down to crematoria under the Process Guidance Notes PG5/2(12).
- (b) Human Rights: It is not considered that individual human rights will be infringed.
- (c) Equality and Diversity: No direct impact
- (d) Climate change and environmental sustainability: No direct impact
- (e) Crime and Disorder: No direct impact
- (f) Budget /Resource: Budget has been allocated for 2019/2020 financial year.

For further information please contact S A Curtis on 01623 621811

Agenda Item 11

MANSFIELD & DISTRICT CREMATORIUM JOINT COMMITTEE

TITLE: RECYCLING OF PACEMAKERS

1.0 **Summary**

1.1 To present an update on the Recycling of Metals and extend the service to include pacemakers removed prior to cremation by Funeral Directors.

2 Recommendations

- i) That the Joint Committee agrees to offer Funeral Directors the opportunity to recycle any pacemakers they remove to prior to cremation so that they can either filter in to the Recycling of Metals scheme or, once assessed if deemed to be suitable sent for use in developing countries through a pacemaker charity.
- ii) That the Joint Committee agrees to the continued rotation of donations as indicated in the report to support charities that give the most benefit locally to both those who are in end of life care and also in the wider community for counselling etc of those who require bereavement counselling.
- iv) That any monies gained through the final collection for any of the remembrance services held at the crematorium (usually in December) are also donated to the nominated charities in the same rotation.

3 Background Information

- 3.1 Historically crematoria have always disposed of such things as hip joints, pins, coffin pins etc by means of burying in an unmarked area within the crematorium grounds. This not only used valuable space within the crematorium grounds and placed metals in the grounds which would remain there forever, but it also meant that metals that could be smelted down and re-used were not being utilised as such and therefore long term this could have had a negative affect on reducing the amount of non-renewable resources that have to be mined to create the virgin metals.
- 3.2 It is important to note that the metals referred to are those that are usually found in surgical implants and are not those from jewellery. Metals used in jewellery have a lower melting point than those used in surgical implants and therefore become gaseous and are destroyed through the cremation process.
- 3.3 The ICCM have followed the Dutch Cremation Federation in adopting a scheme of recycling such metals which has been approved by the Environment Agency and not only benefits society in that it means it aids the reduction in mining of non-renewable sources to create the virgin metals required to produce surgical implants, but any monies gained from the 'weighing in' of such metal residues are donated nationally to charities within the UK which have a connection with bereavement.
- 3.4 The Applicant for Cremation is required to give their consent to the conditions for environmentally sensitive disposal of any metals that remain following cremation, however the form also gives the applicant the opportunity to claim all

the metals recovered from their loved ones cremation and have them returned to them with the cremated remains.

- 3.5 The scheme was adopted by the Mansfield & District Crematorium Joint Committee in 2011 with the first collection taking place in 2012.
- 3.6 The ICCM, together with Orthometals (the collection company), has expanded their Metals Recycling Scheme to include pacemakers. Under the scheme, funeral directors will be able to take pacemakers that have been removed prior to cremation to their local participating crematorium and place them in special bins provided by Orthometals. Orthometals will collect the bins alongside the other metals bins during their routine collections. The scheme has already been trialled at Mortlake Crematorium, where it has proven to be popular with their local funeral directors.
- 3.7 After collection, Orthometals will sort and test the pacemakers those that are suitable will be donated to a pacemaker charity for use in developing countries. It is anticipated that the number of pacemakers suitable for donation will be low, and that most will be recycled. There is very little value in an individual pacemaker, but in larger numbers there is some scrap metal value. As with the metals scheme, any surplus will be distributed to bereavement related charities nominated by the participating crematoria, thus increasing the amount donated.
- 3.8 The metals that have been recycled produce a payment which is collated nationally and each authority who has signed up to the scheme has the opportunity of nominating a bereavement related charity to receive a proportion of the money raised. The money does not go back to the individual crematoria. On average there are two collections per year.
- 3.9 The Joint Committee initially chose to support 3 Hospices in rotation which served the committee's area, the first one being the Nottingham Hospice (Ashfield District Council's nomination), the second John Eastwood Hospice in Sutton-in-Ashfield/Mansfield (Mansfield District Council's nomination) and the third Beaumond House in Newark (Newark & Sherwood District Council's nomination). The proceeds of each donation are sent to the relevant Hospice to allow them to put it good use for patient care to help ensure that they continue to provide free services to patients with life-limiting illness and support to their families and carers therefore giving a far reaching and accessible for all service.
- 3.10 During the period in which the Mansfield Crematorium has been involved in the recycling project the following monies have been raised for charities locally:-

John Eastwood Hospice have received 4 cheques over a 6.5 year period to the value of £17961 in total

Nottinghamshire Hospice have received 4 cheques over a 6.5 year period to the value of £21412 in total

Beaumond House Hospice have received 4 cheques over a 6.5 year period to the value of £19004 in total

3.11 The Joint Committee have recently re-confirmed their commitment to supporting the two hospices that currently serve directly the Local Authority areas i.e. Beaumond House and John Eastwood Hospice. Which, following their rotation

would mean that Ashfield District Council's nomination of John Eastwood Hospice would be the next nomination.

| Rotation | Local Authority | Nomination |
|----------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Ashfield District Council | John Eastwood Hospice |
| 2 | Mansfield District Council | John Eastwood Hospice |
| 3 | Newark & Sherwood DC | Beaumond House Hospice |

5 Risk assessment of recommendations and options

| Risk | Risk Assessment | Risk Level | Risk Management |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------|
| That a charity is | Potential damage | Low | Ensure that there |
| not nominated and | to reputation for | | is a clear list of |
| recycling monies | not carrying out | | nominations of |
| not distributed in | clients instructions | | charities that meet |
| accordance with | | | the brief of the |
| applicants wishes | | | <u>ICCM</u> |
| to recycle | | | |

6 **IMPLICATIONS**

- (a) Relevant Legislation: FBCA Code of Cremation Practice (the professional body which oversees crematoria)
- (b) Human Rights: It is not considered that individual human rights will be infringed.
- (c) Equality and Diversity: No direct impact
- (d) Climate change and environmental sustainability: No direct impact
- (e) Crime and Disorder: No direct impact
- (f) Budget /Resource: There are no budget / resource implications.

For further information please contact S A Curtis on 01623 621811